

Senate Committee on Finance Attn. Editorial and Document Section Rm. SD-219 Dirksen Senate Office Bldg. Washington, DC 20510-6200 From: Matthew E. Melmed, Executive Director ZERO TO THREE 1255 23rd Street, NW Suite 350 Washington, DC 20037

Board of Directors

Robert M. Chang Lia Dean Helen Egger **Robert Emde** Walter Gilliam Mary Margaret Gleason **Brenda Jones Harden** Donna Levin Alicia F. Lieberman John Love Matthew E. Melmed Andrew Meltzoff Lisa Mennet Catherine E. Monk Ann Pleshette Murphy Brian A. Napack Michael R. Olenick Jeree H. Pawl **Rizwan Shah** Paul G. Spicer **Eugene Stein** Mindy Stein Barbara Thompson **Ross Thompson** Ginger Ward Charles Zeanah

Directors Emeriti

T. Berry Brazelton Samuel J. Meisels Kyle D. Pruett Arnold J. Sameroff Jack P. Shonkoff Edward Zigler

Founding Members

T. Berry Brazelton Selma Fraiberg Stanley I. Greenspan J. Ronald Lally Bernard Levy Reginald S. Lourie Peter B. Neubauer Robert A. Nover Sally Provence Julius B. Richmond Albert J. Solnit Leon J. Yarrow

Executive Director

Matthew E. Melmed

Statement of Matthew Melmed Executive Director, ZERO TO THREE

Submitted to the Committee on Finance Subcommittee on Social Security, Pensions, and Family Policy

Hearing on Examining the Importance of Paid Family Leave for American Working Families

July 11, 2018

Chairman Cassidy and Members of the Subcommittee:

My name is Matthew Melmed. For the past 23 years I have been the Executive Director of ZERO TO THREE, a national nonprofit organization, located in Washington, DC, whose mission is to ensure that all babies and toddlers have a strong start in life. I thank the Subcommittee for bringing attention to this critical family support. For babies, the precious time paid family leave allows them with their parents begins laying the foundations for all learning and relationships. For parents, paid leave reduces anxiety over making ends meet by providing job security and consistent income during a time when focusing on their new families should be paramount. State paid leave programs show such policies garner support from employers, who realize the benefit of a more stable workforce. And our nation takes the first steps toward building the strong workers, innovators, and citizens that our country will need to secure a vibrant future.

At ZERO TO THREE, we translate the science of early childhood development into useful knowledge and strategies for parents, practitioners, and policymakers. We work to ensure that babies and toddlers benefit from the family and community connections critical to their wellbeing and healthy development. And the science tells us that nothing is more important to who we become in life than the early close relationships we form from birth.

The current focus on paid family leave among policymakers is indeed welcome. As your Subcommittee takes *its* first steps into this policy area, I would raise two other points. At ZERO TO THREE, we are passionate about advocating for the needs of babies and their parents. But I underscore that while forming or augmenting a family by welcoming a new baby is an important and joyful event, parents also need paid medical leave when other situations require family caregiving. For example, if their children suffer from chronic illnesses or need surgery to correct congenital problems; one parent contracts a disease such as cancer requiring ongoing treatment; or an elderly parent needs care following a debilitating fall; caregiving falls on family members. As a caring society, where the family is the core unit, we should support families' ability to care for each other throughout life.



Finally, we often hear that we should take incremental steps in enacting such a far-reaching policy that could potentially benefit every family in America. I submit that we have already taken that step, with the Family and Medical Leave Act 25 years ago. I think American families do an incredible job in weaving the fabric of our society, but they are stressed to the limit and need more than another baby step forward. They cannot afford to wait another generation to have supports that enable them to nurture their families *and* be productive workers. So, I welcome the opportunity to discuss the critical importance of a comprehensive paid family and medical leave social insurance program for our nation's youngest families, those with newborn or newly adopted babies, infants, and toddlers, and for all families.

The importance of unhurried time in the first year of life

Science has significantly enhanced what we know about the needs of infants and toddlers, underscoring the fact that experiences and relationships in the earliest years of life play a critical role in a child's ability to grow up healthy and ready to learn. We know that infancy and toddlerhood are times of intense intellectual engagement.ⁱ A baby's brain produces one million new neural connections every second, influenced most significantly by the everyday moments they experience with parents and caregivers.ⁱⁱ During this time – a remarkable 36 months – the brain undergoes its most dramatic development, and children acquire the ability to think, speak, learn, and reason. The early years establish the foundation upon which later learning and development are built. If experiences in those early years are harmful, stressful, or traumatic, the effects of such experiences become more difficult, not to mention more expensive, to remediate over time if they are not addressed early in life.

Most critical for the issue at hand, research demonstrates that forming secure attachments to a few caring and responsive adults is a primary developmental milestone for babies in the first year of life. During the earliest days and months, children learn about the world through their own actions and their caregivers' reactions. They are learning about who they are, how to feel about themselves, and what they can expect from those who care for them. Such basic capacities as the ability to feel trust and to experience intimacy and cooperation with others develop from the earliest moments of life.

According to the groundbreaking report released by the National Academies of Science, *From Neurons to Neighborhoods: The Science of Early Childhood Development*, a young child's parents structure the experience and shape the environment within which early development unfolds.^{III} Early relationships are important for all infants and toddlers, but they are particularly important for those living in lower-income families because they can help serve as a buffer against the multiple risk factors these children may face. These early attachments are critical because a positive early relationship, especially with a parent, reduces a young child's fear in novel or challenging situations, thereby enabling her to explore with confidence and to manage stress, while at the same time, strengthening a young child's sense of competence and efficacy.^{IV} Early attachments also set the stage for other relationships and play an important role in shaping the systems that underlie children's reactivity to stressful situations.^V

All infants need ample time with their parents at the very beginning of their lives to form these critical relationships. It takes several months of focused attention to



become a responsive caregiver to a young child, establishing a pattern that will influence the child's long-term cognitive, social, and emotional development.^{vi} The better parents know their children, the more readily they will recognize even the most subtle cues that indicate what the children need to promote their healthy growth and development. For example, early on infants are learning to regulate their eating and sleeping patterns and their emotions. If parents can recognize and respond to their baby's cues, they will be able to soothe the baby, respond to her cues, and make the baby feel safe and secure in his or her new world. Trust and emotional security enable a baby to explore with confidence and communicate with others – critical characteristics that impact early learning and later school readiness.

In addition to building secure and healthy early attachments, unhurried time at home with a newborn allows parents the time they need to facilitate breastfeeding, attend well-child medical visits, and ensure that their children receive the immunizations necessary to lower infant mortality and reduce the occurrence and length of childhood illnesses.^{vii,viii},^{ix} The capacity to recognize a caregiver's voice, smell, and face develops around three months of age.^x Paid time to care gives parents and babies important time to foster these connections. Parents and caregivers may also need time with a new baby to identify and intervene in a variety of developmental difficulties. This is especially important for caregivers of infants who are considered at high risk, such as babies born preterm or at low birth weights and those who have illnesses or birth defects.^{xi}

Studies of two-parent, opposite-sex households show a number of positive outcomes when fathers take leave. Fathers who take two or more weeks off after the birth of a child are more involved in that child's direct care nine months after birth than fathers who take no leave.^{xii} Involved fathers also promote children's educational attainment and emotional stability.^{xiii} And, a father's involvement in a newborn's care in the first six months can mean both mother and baby sleep better.^{xiv}

Paid leave also reduces economic uncertainty by providing job security and consistent income during a time in which it is essential for parents to focus on their new families rather than worrying about how to make ends meet. Time at home also benefits employers by reducing staff turnover and the subsequent training and hiring costs associated with new staff.^{xv}

Family and medical leave

The 1993 Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) allows employees to take up to twelve weeks of unpaid, job-protected leave to care for newborns, newly adopted and foster children, and seriously ill family members, including themselves. I want to emphasize the lifelong nature of family caregiving needs, not just the occasion of the birth or adoption of a child. Of the more than 100 million Americans who have taken time off from work under the FMLA since it was enacted 25 years ago,^{xvi} only 21 percent did so to take care of a new child.^{xvii} Although FMLA has had great success, far too many workers are still unable to take leave. Nearly half of eligible employees (46 percent) reported that they could not afford to take the leave that they needed because it was unpaid.^{xviii} Furthermore, a full 40 percent of the workforce is currently not covered by the federal law because they work for smaller employers, work part time, or have not been on the job long enough to qualify.^{xix} That is a lot of families, both newly-



forming and established, without the ability to tend to the caregiving that falls to them.

I would like to emphasize one other very important fact about FMLA: it was a bipartisan effort, the result of dedicated lawmakers from both sides of the aisle having respectful discussions that involved real give and take. I firmly believe such fruitful conversations and negotiations can occur again, with the wellbeing of both families and our economy as the goal.

Twenty-five years after the passage of the FMLA, the research about paid and unpaid leave is clear: unpaid leave is not enough. A strong body of evidence shows that paid family and medical leave strengthens families and supports public health and child development. Research also shows that paid leave helps employers recruit and retain valued employees, benefitting businesses and our economy.^{xx} Data from states with paid leave show health and economic benefits and strong levels of support from employers.^{xxi}

Given that caregiving needs affect many families, it is not surprising that eightytwo percent of 2016 voters – across party lines – say it is important for the President and Congress to consider a paid family and medical leave law.^{xxii} But currently where you live largely determines what guarantees you have, as states and communities lead the way. Five states and the District of Columbia have all passed state paid leave laws, providing a strong body of evidence upon which to build a robust federal policy. Data from states with paid leave^{xxii}show health and economic benefits, as well as strong levels of support from employers.

Twenty-five years is too long to wait to fulfill the promise of the FMLA. It is time for Congress to stand up for hard-working families, businesses, and the economy by supporting a comprehensive, inclusive paid family and medical leave program.

What a real paid family and medical leave program looks like

We need a strong, inclusive national paid family and medical leave insurance program and to set a nationwide paid leave baseline. It is well past time for the United States to adopt a national standard, but policy details matter tremendously. Disparities in people's access to paid leave, changing demographics, and the realities working families face today require that any national plan:

- Be comprehensive of working people's needs as reflected in the FMLA, such as for their own serious health conditions, including pregnancy and childbirth recovery; to bond with and care for a newborn or newly adopted child; care for a parent, child, spouse, or domestic partner with a serious health condition; and/or for particular military caregiving and leave purposes.
- Be inclusive of all working people across the United States, covering workers in all companies, no matter their size. Younger, part-time, lower-wage, contingent, and self-employed workers would all be eligible for benefits.



- Provide a meaningful duration of leave, at least 12 weeks, and wage replacement rate to make taking leave financially possible for all working people – ensuring low- and middle-wage workers have a higher share of their wages replaced.
- Be affordable, cost-effective, and sustainably funded with new revenue not funded by cutting or reducing benefits from programs people rely on.
- Make it illegal to fire or discriminate against an individual who has applied, intends to apply for, or who uses family and medical leave insurance benefits.

Any plan that fails to meet these standards is unacceptable

These key elements create crucial job and financial security so employees can take the time they need to heal, provide the nurturing their babies need to get off to a strong start, and get back to work more focused and confident. In all, investing in a paid family and medical leave policy means a stronger likelihood of getting kids off to a healthy start, keeping parents in the workforce, and keeping the economy strong.

The current proposal, originally put forth by the Independent Women's Forum (IWF) and embraced by Senators Rubio, Ernst, and Lee, as well as advisors in the White House, is not an acceptable policy solution. Parents should not be faced with the false choice between caring for a newborn or adopted baby and cutting their social security retirement or disability benefits later. In effect, parents are being penalized for undertaking an endeavor—taking on raising a child—that benefits all of society, especially if they have the time to get that child's development off to a good start. People can and should be able to have paid family and medical leave while they're working and safe and secure benefits for retirement.

New moms and dads should not have to jeopardize their retirement by using Social Security to fund their parental leave. Workers shouldn't be asked to pay for paid leave today by rolling the dice on their future needs for Social Security retirement benefits later. Research consistently finds that it is difficult to estimate financial needs in retirement, and workers often underestimate.^{xxiv} According to the Urban Institute, under the IWF proposal, parents who participate in the program would have to delay collecting Social Security retirement benefits for about twice as many weeks as they collected leave. Participants who take 12 weeks of paid leave would experience a 3 percent decline in lifetime Social Security retirement benefits, but losses would be significantly higher for people with larger families who take multiple leaves.^{xxv} Asking workers in their prime reproductive years to make decisions based in part on their prediction of future Social Security retirement benefit needs is an unnecessary and unwise gamble. This does not take into account scenarios where parents may become disabled and need their Social Security benefits to make ends meet.

Any plan that leaves behind people caring for family members or dealing with their own serious health issue does not address the needs of America's working families. Creating a plan that covers only parental leave excludes the vast majority of workers who need time to care. Three-quarters of people using FMLA had to care for their own health or that of a seriously ill family member. Any U.S.



paid leave plan should reflect the well-established reasons set out in the FMLA, which are parental leave, family care leave, personal medical leave and military caregiving leave. Parental-only leave would also lead to stark inequities within the workplace, even for people with young children: A parent of a newborn would have access to paid time away from work for bonding, but a coworker whose sixmonth-old is critically ill or whose spouse needs postpartum care would have no guarantee of time or income support.

The proposed program design – providing parental leave only, and with benefits that are too small a share of most workers' typical wages – will reinforce rather than help to equalize caregiving disparities between women and men and will not meet the needs of lower-wage workers. Data from California's and New Jersey's paid family leave programs show that low wage-replacement rates and low benefit caps lessen the likelihood of men taking leave and reduce the ability of lower-wage workers to take leave. That's why California recently raised wage replacement rates to 70 percent for lower-wage workers and 60 percent for all other workers^{xxvi}, and why New Jersey lawmakers last year passed a bill that would have provided both wage-replacement rate increases and updates to New Jersey's low benefits cap.^{xxvii}

A program that only covers new parents and offers low wage replacement rates will be used primarily by lower-wage women who have given birth and have no other option and a significant need. Indeed, one reason the FMLA was designed to cover family caregiving leave and personal medical leave was to minimize the potential for employment discrimination.^{xxviii} While fathers increasingly want to, and do, provide care for their families,^{xxix} norms and stereotypes about gender, work and caregiving mean that some employers perceive mothers and young women as less committed workers. A paid leave program that is only accessible to parents, especially one with low wage replacement and low maximum benefits, could exacerbate implicit bias and discrimination, undermining the potential of gender-equal leave to help create workplace equity and foster women's employment opportunities.

At a time where the country is facing a falling birth rate, we should enact public policies that support America's working families. Just this month, the New York Times investigated this phenomenon in the article, *Americans Are Having Fewer Babies. They Told Us Why*. The top reason young adults reported they had or expected to have fewer children than they considered ideal, was that child care is too expensive. Another of the most-cited reasons was lack of or not enough paid family leave.^{xxx} Forcing parents to risk their future economic security for a low-wage replacement paid leave plan during their child bearing years is not a viable policy solution to a critical problem for today's families.

Paid maternity and/or paternity leave by itself is not sufficient for working families. It covers only one life event and may not even be the only time an infant or toddler needs her parents' constant presence. For example, the rates of childhood cancer have been increasing over the past 20 years. Almost half of all pediatric cancer occurs during early childhood, with the peak incidence of invasive childhood cancer occurring during infancy. Unquestionably, all children and particularly very young ones need the reassuring presence of their parents at such times. Families who care for a child with cancer incur considerable costs during the diagnostic, treatment, and follow-up care phases of the disease. Four major factors contribute to these expenses: necessary travel; loss of income



because of a reduction or termination of parental employment; out-of-pocket treatment expenses; and inability to draw on assistance programs to supplement or replace lost income.^{xxxi} As with most caregiving duties, the majority falls on the mother and therefore her career and financial stability is most at risk. Typically, the mother is the one who terminated or reduced work hours, which affects the entire family's financial well-being. This economic burden can have long-term effects on the financial security, quality of life, and future well-being of the entire family, including the siblings of the affected child. Paid family and medical leave would help to alleviate the financial burden and eliminate the fear of retaliation when returning to work after caring for a chronically ill child.

The reality is that workers need both a comprehensive paid family and medical leave plan *and* Social Security. The U.S. can create a paid leave plan affordably and responsibly without reducing workers' Social Security or forcing them to delay retirement. Policymakers should reject this proposal and instead consider a paid leave plan that is responsibly and sustainably funded, guarantees leave for the full range of family and medical needs covered in the FMLA and offers adequate benefits that enable all working people to take the leave they need.

Conclusion

With more than 4 million babies born in the United States and 135,000 children adopted each year, the pool of just these tiny beneficiaries is vast and deserving. Paid family and medical leave is an issue that states continue to grapple with as more mothers with very young children enter the workforce – almost 60 percent of mothers with infants are in the labor force.^{xxxii} Before heading back to the workplace, parents need time to bond with their babies and enable them to form the all-important attachments that will help give them a good start in life. This time together helps babies take the first critical step toward the strong, foundational development that in time will make them successful learners, workers, citizens—and parents, themselves. But as critical as that time is, it is not the only time when family members are called upon to become caregivers. If we truly value families, we should recognize the worth and dignity of their fulfilling these responsibilities that preserve the very fabric of our society.

I urge the Subcommittee to consider the unique needs of our nation's youngest families as you explore ways in which to create a national paid family and medical leave program. I urge you to work together in the spirit of the creators of the Family and Medical Leave Act, take the full step, and agree on what families really need to fulfill their responsibilities to each other and the nation's economy.

Thank you for your time and for your commitment to our nation's infants, toddlers, and their families.

Sincerely,

(a ? 1

Matthew E. Melmed Executive Director, ZERO TO THREE



ⁱ Shonkoff, J. & Phillips, D. 2000. From neurons to neighborhoods: The science of early childhood development. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
ⁱⁱ Center on the Developing Child (2009). Five Numbers to Remember About Early

Childhood Development (Brief). Retrieved from <u>www.developingchild.harvard.edu</u> iii lbid.

^{iv} Ibid

V Ibid.

^{vi} Ibid, pp. 44-49.

^{vii} Sheila B. Kamerman, "Parental Leave Policies: The Impact on Child Well-Being." In Peter Moss and Margaret O'Brien, eds., International Review of Leave Policies and Related Research 2006, 16–21. London, UK: Department of Trade and Industry, 2006. Retrieved January 19, 2017 from

http://www.leavenetwork.org/fileadmin/Leavenetwork/Annual reviews/2006 annual report .pdf.

viii Ibid.

ix Zigler, Muenchow, and Ruhm, Time off with baby.

[×] Ibid, p. 47.

^{xi} Ibid, p. 41.

^{xii} Nepomnyaschy, L., & Waldfogel, J. Paternity Leave and Fathers' Involvement with their Young Children: Evidence from the American Ecls–B. Community, Work and Family, 10(4), 427-453. 2007.

xiii Michael Lamb, The role of the father in child development, 4th ed. (pp. 1–18, 309–313), 2004. Hoboken, N.J.: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; Kristin Smith, After the Great Recession, More Married Fathers Providing Child Care. Carsey School of Public Policy, 2005. Retrieved from https://carsey.unh.edu/publication/after-great-recession-more-married-fathers-providing-child-care

^{xiv} Liat Tikotzky, Avi Sadeh, Ella Volkovich, Rachel Manber, Gal Meiri, and Golan Shahar, Infant sleep development from 3 to 6 months postpartum: links with maternal sleep and paternal involvement. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 80(1), p. 107 – 124, 2015.

^{xv} Boushey, H., & Glynn, S. (2012, November 16). There Are Significant Business Costs to Replacing Employees. Center for American Progress Publication. Retrieved from http://www.americanprogress.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/11/CostofTurnover.pdf

^{xvi} Klerman J., Daley, K., & Pozniak, A. Family and Medical Leave in 2012: Technical Report. U.S. Department of Labor, 2012. Retrieved from <u>www.dol.gov/whd/fmla/survey</u>
^{xvii} Klerman, Jacob, Kelly Daley, and Alyssa Pozniak. (2012). Family and Medical Leave in 2012: Technical Report. Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates. Retrieved from

https://www.dol.gov/asp/evaluation/fmla/FMLA-2012-Technical-Report.pdf viii lbid.

^{xix} Ibid.

^{xx} EY. (2017, March). Viewpoints on paid family and medical leave: Findings from a survey of US employers and employees (Fig. 26). Retrieved 26 January 2018, from <u>http://www.ey.com/Publication/vwLUAssets/EY-viewpoints-on-paid-family-and-medical-leave/\$FILE/EY-viewpoints-on-paid-family-and-medical-leave.pdf</u>

^{xxi} Bartel, A., Baum, C., Rossin-Slater, M., Ruhm, C., & Waldfogel, J. (2014, June). California's Paid Family Leave Law: Lessons from the First Decade. U.S. Department of Labor Publication. Retrieved from

http://www.dol.gov/asp/evaluation/reports/PaidLeaveDeliverable.pdf

^{xxii} National Partnership for Women and Families. (2016). Key Findings: 2016 Election Eve/Election Night Survey. Retrieved from <u>http://www.nationalpartnership.org/research-</u> library/work-family/key-findings-2016-election-eve-election-night-survey.pdf

^{xxiii} Isaacs, J., Healy, O., & Peters, E. (2017). Paid Family Leave in the United States: Time for a New National Policy. Urban Institute. Retrieved from

https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/90201/paid family leave 0.pdf



xxiv Society of Actuaries. Consumer concern over financial risks of retirement increase. (2018). Retrieved from <u>https://www.soa.org/press-releases/2018/consumer-concern-financial-risk/</u>

^{xxv} Favreault, M. M., & Johnson, R. W. (2018). Paying for Parental Leave with Future Social Security Benefits. Retrieved from

https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/98101/paying for parental leave with future social security benefits 0.pdf xxviState of California Employment Development Department. About Paid Family Leave.

^{xvvi}State of California Employment Development Department. About Paid Family Leave. Retrieved from <u>https://www.edd.ca.gov/Disability/About_PFL.htm</u>

xvvii Hetrick, C. NJ assembly approves expanding paid family leave. (2017). Observer. Retrieved from <u>http://observer.com/2017/06/nj-assembly-approves-expanding-paid-family-leave/</u>

xxviii Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, Pub. L. No. 103-3, § 2, 107 Stat. 6, 6-7 (1993). Retrieved from

https://www.dol.gov/whd/fmla/fmlaAmended.htm#SEC 2 FINDINGS AND PURPOSES xxix Harrington, B., Van Deusen, F., Sabatini Fraone, J., Eddy, S., & Haas, L. (2014). The New Dad: Take Your Leave. Perspectives on paternity leave from fathers, leading organizations, and global policies. Boston College Center for Work & Family Publication. Retrieved from

http://www.thenewdad.org/yahoo site admin/assets/docs/BCCWF The New Dad 2014 FINAL.157170735.pdf; Heilman, B., Cole, G., Matos, K., Hassink, A., Mincy, R., & Barker, G. (2016). *State of America's Fathers*. A MenCare Advocacy Publication. Retrieved from http://men-care.org/soaf/download/PRO16001 Americas Father web.pdf xxx Miller, C. Americans are having fewer babies. They told us why. The New York Times.

Retrieved from https://www.nytimes.com/2018/07/05/upshot/americans-are-having-fewer-babies-they-told-us-why.html

^{xxxi} Miedema, B., Easley, J., Fortin, P., Hamilton, R., & Mathews, M. (2008). The economic impact on families when a child is diagnosed with cancer. Current Oncology, 15(4), 173–178. Retrieved from <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2528308/</u>

^{xxxii} U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2017. Employment status of mothers with own children under 3 years old by single year of age of youngest child and marital status, 2016-2017 annual averages. Table 6. Retrieved from https://www.bls.gov/news.release/famee.t06.htm